







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/056,312	01/24/2002	Daryl S. Meredith	TN-1488 9558	
7590 10/21/2003			EXAMINER	
Adan Ayala, Esq.			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
Black & Decker Inc. 701 E. Joppa Road, TW-199			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Towson, MD 21286			3724 /0	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application N	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary				Applicant(s)			
		10/056,312		MEREDITH ET AL.			
	omee neuen cammary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Isaac N Hamil		3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp ndence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)⊠							
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
<u> </u>	Disposition of Claims  4) \( \sum_{\text{claim}} \) (1.24 in large panding in the application)						
•	<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)L	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Notice of Informal Page 1	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/056,312

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's prior art in view of Grobbelaar (5,393,270). Applicant discloses on page 1, lines 7-20 all of the limitations in claims 1 and 2 except for an idler gear, which meshes in turn with a second gear that is driven by the motor via a belt. Grobbelaar teaches an idler gear 452, which meshes in turn with a second gear 448 that is driven by the motor 12 via a belt 50 in figure 7. It would have been obvious to provide an idler gear, which meshes in turn with a second gear that is driven by the motor via a belt in the applicant's admitted prior art as taught by Grobbelaar in order to provide a safety mechanism, such as an idling mode of operation for the saw, to disengage the turning of the blade while the motor is running. The new combination of the applicant's prior art and the idler gear 452 of Grobbelaar will have a similar configuration of the gears in figure 7 of Grobbelaar. Although the figure does not show the gears in the same configuration as the gears in the applicant's admitted prior art, the gear G and arbor A can be represented as gear 442, the idler gear is 452 and the third gear G3 can be represented as gear 448 in figure 7 of Grobbelaar. Note column 7, lines 3-11.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8-1-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to provide the idler gear in the applicant's prior art is to provide a safety mechanism, such as an idling mode of operation for the saw, to disengage the turning of the blade while the motor is running. Idler gears are well known and are capable of being used in any apparatus involving a motor that transmits power to a rotating implement through a series of gears. Idling modes are well known and allow a user to disengage a motor driven implement without having to turn the motor on and off, which is an inefficient use of power. Applicant asserts that an idling mode would lower the efficiency of the miter saw, as the blade would stop turning if it gets jammed in wood. However it is believed that the miter saw would not get jammed into the wood that it is cutting because when the miter saw is engaged by the idler gear and the motor, then the saw would only stop if the idler gear is disengaged. Many automobiles use the same idler gear in the transmission and when a car is traveling on a flat road, then starts to go up a hill, the car does not stop.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/056,312

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 703-305-4949. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 8am and 5pm. If attempts to

reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on

703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to

the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

October 17, 2003

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700